

Date: Thursday 24 July 2013

Time: 14:00-15:00 CET, 13:00-14:00 GMT

Online meeting: Google+ Hangout: https://plus.google.com/hangouts/_/a657be68aa1dcc337eb9ea9657fdef490ef7a352?hl=en

Present: Sara, Matthijs, Masson, Feng

Jabber: xmpp:opendnssec@conference.kirei.se

1. Who will write minutes? Sara.

2. Agree on the agenda. OK.

3. Project actions

Issue	What	Who	Status
-	User survey 2013 Sara to start process of clarifying the requirements on Monitoring/API/DS etc. UPDATE: Further discussions on Postgres support Sara has passed a request from Sebastian Castro for Postgres support on to the board for review	Sara Dickinson	OPEN

4. OpenDNSSEC

- **OPENDNSSEC Unassigned issues**

- All unassigned open issues
- None

- **SUPPORT Open issues**

- All unresolved Support issues
- SUPPORT-71: we are waiting for feedback
- SUPPORT-69: a linked OPENDNSSEC issue has been opened for this
- SUPPORT-67: Still needs more investigation into Auditor compatibility with Ruby 1.9.3
- Matthijs has some other support issues that he probably won't have time to do before he leaves for IETF/holiday. He will summarise them in an email so that the team is aware of them

- **OpenDNSSEC 1.3.15**

- Nominate any issues for 1.3.15?
- Agile board for 1.3.15 (also check the NEWS file)
 - We have 4 minor closed issues and agree this does not require a release at this time
- Should we release?
 - No

- **OpenDNSSEC 1.4.1**

- Nominate any issues for 1.4.1?
- Agile board for 1.4.2 (also check the NEWSfile)
 - We have 5 closed issues against this including 2 quite important bug fixes for the signer. However since Matthijs is away for the next 3 weeks we don't want to do a release while he is not here. We discuss delaying the release so it goes out when he comes back - this would also allow additional enforcer fixes to go into the release. We think that unless we get a critical fix that needs to go out sooner we will wait and do a release candidate on 12th August and a full release on the 19th which is when Matthijs returns.
 - Matthijs will do a code review of 1.4 today/tomorrow so that he is happy we could release while he is away
- Should we release?
 - Release planned for 19th August

- **Trunk**

- **OpenDNSSEC 2.0.0b1**

- Actions

Patrik	[Compatibility: Align enforcer-ng jenkins testing with trunk.] Patrik will talk to SIDN and CZ.NIC to see if they can offer testing resources for	OPEN	
--------	---	------	--

	OpenDNSSEC enforcer-ng development.		
Yuri	Merge: Merge of enforcer-ng code into trunk	OPEN	

- Updates?
 - *Masson from Knet will work with Yuri on 2.0. Unfortunately Yuri is sick this week so we can't discuss 2.0 in detail*
 - *Sara has sent Masson a list of minor bug fixes to start work on. Masson will review the list and email Sara/Yuri with any questions he has.*
- [Agile board for 2.0](#)
- Regression & System testing coverage
- Planned Release date:

• **Testing/Performance**

Issue	What	Who
OPENDNSSEC-132	Benchmarking system for a random TLD. Patrik will ask Lars to do some benchmarking. Sara asks to be involved in this so there is no duplication of effort	Sara Dickinson
OPENDNSSEC-133	Regression testing/requirements	Sara Dickinson
OPENDNSSEC-131	<u>Add sparac box to jenkins pool.</u> (Box is added to 1.3, build problems remain on mysql and enforcer-ng)	Sara Dickinson

No progress on any of these actions.

- **General updates**
 - *Additional resources and funding may be available. CONAC are discussing the possibility of contributing resources to the project. Matthijs will meet with them at IETF next week.*

5. **SoftHSM**

- Releases:
 - SoftHSM 1.3.5
 - SoftHSM 2.0.0

Jakob	Ask Jerry if we can lock the SoftHSM v2 branch of the repository. UPDATE: Not without him spending time testing path based authentication	OPEN
Kirei/.SE	Investigate if they can contribute testing resources to SoftHSM v2 beta testing	OPEN

No progress.

6. **Next meeting**

- Suggested date: Thursday 8 Aug. **Agreed.**

7. **AOB**

- Feng asks about code review process for their developments. Sara replies that Yuri is happy for them to submit to the enforcer-ng branch and he will review their code directly from there.
- Masson asks if there are any rules for naming new branches in svn. Sara replies that in general we use the form 'OpenDNSSEC-XXX' and so suggests he creates a private branch called 'OpenDNSSEC-masson'.