

Date: Thursday 7 Nov 2013

Time: 14:00-15:00 CET, 13:00-14:00 GMT, 21:00-22:00 CST, 13:00-14:00 UTC

Online meeting: Teamspeak

Present: Jakob, Rick, Sara, Sion, Matthijs, Yuri, Masson, Warren

Jabber: xmpp:opendnssec@conference.kirei.se

1. Who will write minutes? Sara.

2. Agree on the agenda. OK

3. Project actions

Who	What	Status
Sara	User survey 2013 Sara to start process of clarifying the requirements on Postgres, Monitoring/API/DS etc. Usability requirements	OPEN
Sara	Set up a code review tool and process. Agreed that Crucible is good enough for now and we will close this action.	IN PROGRESS
Sara	What tool should we use for team meetings? <a href="https://issues.opendnssec.org/browse/OPENDNSSEC-471">https://issues.opendnssec.org/browse/OPENDNSSEC-471</a> Agree to close this action and just leave the JIRA issue for when Jerry has time.	IN PROGRESS
?	We need to find a replace tool for Coverity. Open source preferred.	OPEN
Sara	Developer workshop. Workshop is planned for 11-13 December with another planned for IETF 89. This action will now be closed.	OPEN
Jakob	Move to git Jakob, Jerry and Patrik have been discussing the move to git and Jakob has started moving some legacy code to Github. He gives an overview of why we want to make the move (improved scalability with more developers, easier for people to contribute patches, better pre-commit reviews, etc.). We plan to discuss this in detail at the developer workshop and Jakob asks if anyone has experience of such a move to share it with the team. Matthijs reports that NLnet Labs have a workflow they are using and he will share this. Jakob suggests all the developers try to familiarise themselves with git before the workshop as this will make discussing the move easier. Sara asks if he can suggest a good source of information to the team and Jakob agrees to send a brief email to the team to list some resources on git. Jakob highlights that no core code will be moved to git until the team has fully agreed the process and timescale for the move.	OPEN

4. OpenDNSSEC

- **OPENDNSSEC Unassigned issues**

- All unassigned open issues
- None

- **SUPPORT Open issues**

- All unresolved Support issues
- SUPPORT-73: This issue will now be closed
- SUPPORT-89: Opened by Sion to request better handling of initialised repositories. Jakob steps up to the plate and agrees to take a look! Linked development issue 477 has been opened for this.
- SUPPORT-88: A report of a segfault in the signer. Matthijs has not had much time for ODS the last 2 weeks and hasn't had time to look at this. He needs more info but we agree to open a development issue (478) for this too.
- SUPPORT-87: We agree this is a feature request that should be done in an upcoming 1.4

patch release, even though it needs an extension to the xml. Development issue 479 opened for this.

- SUPPORT-86: Sara double checks to see that there is no specific requirement to look at support on OS X, to which the answer is no. However it is agreed that we should try to make this minor change so that ODS at least builds on OS X.
- SUPPORT-85: Matthijs is still looking at this one.
- SUPPORT-12: We agree this can be closed.

- **OpenDNSSEC 1.3.16**

- [Work board](#) (also check the [NEWS](#) file)
  - There are still several issues that require testing so we are not ready to release just yet.
- [Planning board for 1.3.16](#) (Nominate any issues for 1.3.16?)
- Should we release?
  - No date planned

- **OpenDNSSEC 1.4.3**

- [Agile board for 1.4.3](#) (also check the [NEWS](#) file)
  - As with 1.3 there is quite a lot of code committed to this branch but it still requires some testing. Also there is issue 435 which is a suspected memory leak which needs more investigation.
- [Planning board for 1.4.3](#) (Nominate any issues for 1.4.3?)
- Should we release?
  - No

- **Trunk**

- **OpenDNSSEC 2.0.0b1**

- *Actions*

<b>Team</b>	Agree design for changes to - user mechanisms for adding zones - enforcer/signer interface for zones to be signed This discussion needs to be restarted on the dev list!	OPEN
<b>Yuri/Rene</b>	Work to document the new protobuf-orm code. Yuri and Rene now have 2 days agreed to work on this.	
<b>Yuri</b>	Merge enforcer-ng into trunk when we are ready.....	ON HOLD

- Updates?
- [Agile board for 2.0](#)
  - [Work on 2.0.0a4 is going well, but still some review and testing needed.](#)
- [Planning board for 2.0](#)
- [Regression & System testing coverage](#)

<b>Sara</b>	Compatibility: Align enforcer-ng jenkins testing with trunk. 1.4 tests have been pushed to 2.0, but most are still turned off.	OPEN
	Perform some basic performance testing	

- *Planned Release date:*

- **General Testing & Performance**

Who	What	Status
Sara	<a href="#">OPENDNSSEC-132</a> Benchmarking system for a random TLD. Patrik will ask Lars to do some benchmarking. Sara asks to be involved in this so there is no duplication of effort	IN PROGRESS
Sara	<a href="#">OPENDNSSEC-133</a> Regression testing coverage.	IN PROGRESS
Sara	<a href="#">OPENDNSSEC-131</a> Add sparc box to jenkins pool. (Box is added to 1.3, build problems remain on mysql and enforcer-ng)	IN PROGRESS

- **General updates**

- Future Developments
  - PostgreSQL support in 2.x
  - [Offline keys](#) (Jakob has created the above page with his thoughts on support for offline keys. Please take a look and send any comments to the developers list.)
  - Support for Views in OpenDNSSEC

[No other progress to report](#)

## 5. SoftHSM

- Releases:
  - SoftHSM 1.3.6
  - SoftHSM 2.0.0 [Jakob reports that work is almost complete on the database backend of SoftHSM v2 which is good news and will mean thoughts can turn to targeting an alpha release.](#)

Kirei/.SE	Investigate if they can contribute testing resources to SoftHSM v2 beta testing	OPEN
-----------	---	------

## 6. Next meeting

- Suggested date: **Thursday 21st November @ 14:00 CET.** [Agreed.](#)

## 7. AOB

- a. [None](#)